



**FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES  
DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM**

**HETRI, SECTOR 16, IDC AREA, GURUGRAM**  
(website: [www.dhbvn.org.in](http://www.dhbvn.org.in)) (e-mail ID:cgrf@dhbvn.org.in)

CASE NUMBER	DH / CGRF / 3480 / 2021
DATE OF INSTITUTION	08.03.2021
DATES OF HEARING	02.04.2021, 01.07.2021, 15.07.2021

**BEFORE THE  
FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES, DHBVN**

Present:

SANJEEV KUMAR CHOPRA	CHAIRPERSON
NARESH MEHTA	MEMBER ACCOUNTS
MANOJ YADAV	MEMBER TECHNICAL

In the matter of complaint of Sh. Nitin Khurana C/o M/S Melrose Overseas, 32,  
DLF Industrial Area, Phase I, Faridabad regarding unlawful billing charges

.....Complainant/Petitioner

V/s

XEN (OP) Divn., DHBVN, Old Faridabad  
SDO (OP) Mathura Road, DHBVN, Faridabad.

.....Respondents

Appearance:-

For Complainant: Present.

For the Respondent: SDO.

## **INTERIM ORDER**

Sh. Nitin Khurana c/o M/S Melrose Overseas has an industrial connection under Mathura Road subdivision of Faridabad and therefore, this Forum has jurisdiction to hear the complaint.

Complainant has filed the present complaint stating that during their meter stopped during March 2020 during lock down period and their factory remained closed as per government orders and could resume partial operations only in the last week of May 2020 when the lockdown was partially lifted. That they continued to get bills on average basis and despite their continuous pursual with subdivision, their meter could only be changed during September 2020. That the charging on average basis for the period during which the factory was closed due to lockdown was absolutely wrong and unlawful. He approached respondent SDO for redressal of his grievance but no action has been taken. He has requested the Forum to redress his complaint.

The complaint was forwarded to the Nodal Officer for filing the written reply of the Nigam and both the parties were asked to appear before the Forum on 02.04.2021 at Faridabad for hearing of the case.

Proceedings were held on 02.04.2021 at Faridabad. Complainant and representative of respondent SDO were present. Respondent SDO did not submit reply but apprised the Forum that he was aware of the issues raised by the complainant and that he would be able to submit detailed reply by the next date of hearing. The complainant submitted some papers in support of his claim that the factory remained closed during the lock down period. Now to come on next date of hearing on 15.04.2021.

Proceedings were held on 01.07.2021 at Faridabad. Complainant and representative of respondent SDO were present. Respondent SDO did not submit reply but requested for another date for submission of detailed reply. At the same time, the complainant was also directed to submit as a proof the copies of GST return etc. to prove that the factory had remained closed during the lock down period. Now to come on next date of hearing on 15.07.2021.

Proceedings were held on 15.07.2021 at Faridabad. Complainant and representative of respondent SDO were present. The complainant submitted copies of GST return as proof of no production activity during lock down period to prove that the factory had remained closed during the lock down period. The Forum observed that from 25.03.2020 to 05.05.2020, there was sufficient reason to believe that the factory had remained closed and therefore the complainant was right in his contention that only fixed charges should be leviable instead of full charging on the basis of corresponding period of the previous year.

After going through the record available on file and hearing both the parties, the Forum decided to dispose of the case with the direction to respondent SDO to treat the period from 25.03.2020 to 05.05.2020 as the period during which the factory had remained closed and therefore to overhaul the account by charging only the fixed charges for this said period. For rest of the defective period, the overhauling should be done as per relevant sales circular of the Nigam. Case is closed. No cost on either side.

However, complainant is at liberty to file the appeal before any other court or with electricity OMBUDSMAN, HERC, Sector-4, Panchkula if he is not satisfied with the decision of the CGRF.

As required under Haryana State Electricity Regulatory Commission (Forum and Ombudsman) Regulations-2020, the implementation of this decision may be intimated to this office within 30 days from the date of its receipt.


File be consigned to record.

Given under our hands on this day of 17<sup>th</sup> July 2021.

**(MANOJ YADAV)**  
Member Technical

**(NARESH K. MEHTA)**  
Member Accounts

**(SANJEEV CHOPRA)**  
Chairperson

	<b>FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM</b>  <b>HETRI, SECTOR 16, IDC AREA, GURUGRAM</b> (website: <a href="http://www.dhbvn.org.in">www.dhbvn.org.in</a> ) (e-mail ID: <a href="mailto:cgrf@dhbvn.org.in">cgrf@dhbvn.org.in</a> )
---	--

CASE NUMBER	DH / CGRF / 3244 / 2020
DATE OF INSTITUTION	19.10.2020
DATES OF HEARING	3.11.2020, 03.12.2020, 05.01.2021, 8.1.21, 19.01.2021, 02.02.2021, 12.02.2021, 02.03.2021, 17.03.2021, 01.07.2021, 15.07.2021, 15.07.2021

**BEFORE THE  
FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES, DHBVN**

Present:

SANJEEV KUMAR CHOPRA	CHAIRPERSON
NARESH MEHTA	MEMBER ACCOUNTS
MANOJ YADAV	MEMBER TECHNICAL

In the matter of complaint of Sh. R.P. Uniyal and others, R/o Park Floors-2, Flat No. T-13/G004, Sector-76, Faridabad regarding wrong billing.

.....Complainant/Petitioner

V/s

XEN (OP) Divn. ,DHBVN, Greater Faridabad.  
SDO (OP) BadrolaS/Divn., DHBVN, Faridabad.

.....Respondents

Appearance:-

For Complainant:                      Representative.

For the Respondent:                      Respondent SDO

## **INTERIM ORDER**

Sh. R.P. Uniyal and others, R/o Park Floors-2, Flat No. T-13/G004, Sector-76, Faridabad has been the consumer of DHBVN bearing account no. 1202690000 under SDO (OP) Badrola S/Divn. DHBVN, Faridabad. The Forum has jurisdiction to hear the complaint.

The complainant has filed the present complaint stating that the builder was not complying with the HERC regulation dated 22.4.2020 and was deducting additional amount from their pre-paid meters after tempering and changing the setting of the meter for the purposes other than electricity namely common area maintenance charges, GST etc. He requested the forum to redress his complaint.

The complaint was forwarded to the Nodal Officer for filing the written reply of the Nigam and both the parties were asked to appear before the Forum on 03.11.2020 at Faridabad for hearing of the case.

Proceeding was held on dated 03.11.2020 at Faridabad. Complainant and respondent SDO were present. SDO did not submit reply. Forum directed respondent SDO to send copy of complaint to the builder/RWA of GHS seeking their reply on the complaint stipulating the period of not more than 7 days. He was also directed to depute area in charge/JE to verify the facts of complaint as per HERC notification dated 22.4.2020 and thereafter submit detailed reply to the Forum with a copy to complainant before the date of hearing.

Proceeding was held on dated 03.12.2020 at Faridabad. Complainant representative and respondent SDO were present. SDO submitted reply vide memo.no.1940 dated 02.12.2020 stating that as per Forum direction, a notice to M/s Countrywide Promoters Pvt. Ltd. and M/s BPTP Ltd. was issued vide memo.no. 1837 dated 17.11.2020 and no. 1938 dated 02.12.2020 respectively. But no reply has been received till date. Further, monthly electricity bill of M/s Countrywide as demanded by the complainant were also provided. The representative of M/s BPTP telephonically requested for another date. During hearing representative of BPTP, Mr. Faisal confirmed that there was no disconnection till date of any allottee. Forum directed the representative of M/s Countrywide/BPTP not to effect disconnection of any allottee due to any reason except non-payment of electricity bill, till decision of case. Respondent SDO was also directed to depute area in charge/JE to verify the facts of complaint as per HERC notification dated 22.4.2020 and thereafter submit detailed reply to the Forum with a copy to complainant before the date of hearing.

Proceeding was held on dated 05.01.2021 at Faridabad. Complainant and respondent SDO were present. SDO submitted reply dated 05.01.2021 stating that as per Forum direction, another notice to M/s Countrywide Promoters Pvt. Ltd. and M/s BPTP Ltd. were issued. But no reply was received till date. The representative of M/s BPTP telephonically requested for another date. Request allowed.

Proceedings were held on 08.01.2021. All, the complainant, the respondent SDO and representative of Country wide / BPTP were present. The complainant and the representative of BPTP submitted that they had held a meeting recently wherein some solution / settlement has been arrived at for the period prior to coming into force of the latest regulation on Single Point Connection in 2020. Forum directed the representative of Country wide / BPTP that they have no option but to implement the new regulation as such. Mr. Rajiv Gupta appearing for BPTP assured that they would not violate any of the provisions of the Regulation and would come up with a detailed reply before the next date on 19.01.2021. Forum accepted his submissions. Now to come up for hearing on 19.01.2021.

Proceedings were held on 19.01.2021 wherein all the parties were present. The developer submitted their set of papers showing the format of billing the individual consumers but it was disputed by the complainant. It was mutually agreed between the complainant and the developer that they would meet again on 28.01.2021 and would try to reach at some conclusion. The next date was fixed as 02.02.2021.

Proceedings were held on 02.02.2021 but the parties requested for another date owing to their ongoing meetings to verify each other's record. Now to come up on the next date of hearing on 12.02.2021.

Proceedings were held on 12.02.2021. Respondent SDO, the complainant and Mr. Saxena from M/S BPTP were present. Again, the dispute remained the same between the complainant and the developer M/S BPTP. After hearing lot of allegations and counter allegations by both the parties, the Forum decided that officers of DHBVN should visit the site and submit a detailed report to the Forum. Therefore, the Forum directed XEN Greater Faridabad Division to form a committee of 2 nos. SDOs and 2 nos. JEs (including the SDO and JE of the area) and to visit the site and submit a detailed report to the Forum before the next date of hearing 02.03.2021 specifically covering the following points in addition to their other observations, if any:

1. By which meter, the monthly input power to Park Floors-2 is measured and where it is installed
2. How the consumption of common area is measured. Are the meters, if separately installed, are in working order
3. Format of the electricity bill which the developer provides to the residents on monthly / bimonthly basis
4. Are the dual energy meters functional to record the DHBVN and generator supply separately.
5. Do the total input energy to Park Floors match the sum total of the units for which the bills are collected from the residents by the developer

Now to come up for hearing on 02.03.2021.

Proceedings were held on 02.03.2021. Complainant as well as SDO were present. But from the builder side, a Vakalatnama was received and next date of hearing was sought. On his part, the SDO submitted a brief report on the issues raised by the Forum on the last date of hearing. Even though the report of respondent SDO clarified some important issues, yet it was felt that it lacked in so many ways and there were some very important issues which had not been touched in his report. Therefore, the Forum directed XEN Greater Faridabad Division and the respondent SDO to further conduct a survey of the area and submit a self-speaking report on the following issues:

1. A single line diagram showing the location of single point connection, nos. of plotted colonies / high rise buildings / other areas / societies which are fed from this single point connection
2. Whether, at the entry point of each of these areas as mentioned in para 1 above, any reference meter is installed and working on the basis of which the builder / developer company raises the bills to its residents / occupants
3. Whether the reference meter installed, if any, at the entry point of each of such areas also records the DG set energy or not
4. Are the DG set generated units recorded separately in dual energy meters installed for individual houses and common area power requirements.
5. How does the builder / developer match the total energy billed to them by DHBVN in a month with the sum total of bills of individual consumption of residents every month raised by the builder / developer?
6. Are the dual energy meters installed for recording common area energy functional
7. What is the ratio of domestic energy consumption viz.-a-viz. common area service consumption
8. Checking by committee be done in the shape of checking formats LL 1
9. Any other important point which comes to the notice of committee during checking

The report should be comprehensive and should cover all the above issues listed. Now, the matter to come up for hearing on the next date 16.03.2021.

Proceedings were held on 17.03.2021. The respondent SDO, the Complainant and Advocate of M/s Country Wide Promoters Mr. R P Kansal were present. The SDO informed that they had tried to survey the area and submit the report but there was no cooperation from the developer M/s Country Wide Promoters. The matter was raised before the Advocate and he was requested to coordinate a meeting of the respondent SDOs with his client and to ask them to furnish all the details related to the issues listed in the earlier hearings. The SDOs were directed to submit the detailed report to the Forum latest by

05.04.2021 with copies to the complainant as well the developer M/S Country Wide Promoters. Also, the complainant and the developer M/S Countrywide Promoters through their advocate were directed to submit their respective observations / comments on the SDO's report latest by 10.04.2021 so that detailed arguments can be held on next date of hearing 15.04.2021. Now to come up for hearing on 15.04.2021.

Proceedings were held on 01.07.2021. The respondent SDO and the Complainants were present but the Advocate of M/s Country Wide Promoters Mr. R P Kansal informed on phone that due to his preoccupation in a court, he was unable to attend the proceedings and therefore requested for another date. The Forum reminded him of the promise he had made during the last hearing and informed that his client did not associate / cooperate the team of subdivision staff in bringing out the facts at the ground. The counsel promised that he would ask his clients to cooperate and help in bringing out facts. The SDO was directed by the Forum to take the matter seriously and submit detailed report on the ground realities as also directed in the last hearing with specific answers to the questions raised. The SDOs were directed to submit the detailed report to the Forum latest by 12.07.2021 with copies to the complainant as well the developer M/S Country Wide Promoters. Also, the complainant and the developer M/S Countrywide Promoters through their advocate were directed to submit their respective observations / comments on the SDO's report latest by 15.07.2021 so that detailed arguments can be held on next date of hearing 15.07.2021. Now to come up for hearing on 15.07.2021.

Proceedings were held on 15.07.2021. The respondent SDO and the Complainants were present. M/S BPTP, the developer was represented by their lawyer Mr. R P Kansal. The SDOs submitted their report which was taken on record, the copies of which were given to the complainant and the developer. After going through the report, the Forum observed that the SDOs' report was not conclusive. The SDOs apprised that they did not get any support from the developer during checking and they wrote in their report whatever they could find on their own. The Forum reminded the advocate Mr. Kansal of his promise last hearing that he would direct his client to extend full support in fact finding exercise. Mr. Kansal's disputed some of the technical issues raised by the SDOs in their report specially the double booking of the energy generated through DG sets and also the reference meters which could prove the actual consumption in the common area. The Forum advised all the parties to revisit the area with specific teams from their side and help the SDOs' team in finding answers to the specific questions raised in the complaint and as advised by the Forum in previous hearings. The Forum directed the SDOs to submit their supplementary comprehensive report latest by 25<sup>th</sup> July with copies to complainant and the developer. The Forum also advised the complainant and the developer to submit



their comments on the SDOs' report latest by 30<sup>th</sup> July 2021 so that detailed arguments can be held on 3<sup>rd</sup> August 2021. Now to come up for hearing on 03.08.2021.

**(MANOJ YADAV)**  
Member Technical

**(NARESH K. MEHTA)**  
Member Accounts

**(SANJEEV CHOPRA)**  
Chairperson

	<b>FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES</b> <b>DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM</b> <b>D-BLOCK, Ground Floor, Vidyut Sadan, Vidyut Nagar, Hisar-125 005</b> <b>Telephone No. 01662-223081</b> <b>HETRI, SECTOR 16, IDC AREA, GURUGRAM</b> <b>(website: <a href="http://www.dhbvn.org.in">www.dhbvn.org.in</a>) (e-mail ID:cgrf@dhbvn.org.in)</b>
---	---

CASE NUMBER	DH / CGRF / 3286 / 2020
DATE OF INSTITUTION	19.11.2020
DATES OF HEARING	03.12.2020, 05.01.2021, 8.1.2021, 02.02.2021, 12.02.2021, 02.3.2021, 17.03.2021, 01.07.2021, 15.07.2021

**BEFORE THE**  
**FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES, DHBVN**

Present:

SANJEEV KUMAR CHOPRA	CHAIRPERSON
NARESH MEHTA	MEMBER ACCOUNTS
MANOJ YADAV	MEMBER TECHNICAL

In the matter of complaint of Sh. Umesh Kumar Prabhakar, H.No.997, Sector-17, Faridabad regarding supply problem.

.....Complainant/Petitioner

V/s

XEN (OP) Divn., DHBVN, Greater Faridabad.  
SDO (OP) S/Divn., DHBVN, Kheri Kalan.

.....Respondents

Appearance:-

For Complainant: Present.

For the Respondent: Respondent SDO

## **INTERIM ORDER**

Sh. Umesh Kumar Prabhakar, H.No.997, Sector-17, Faridabad is a consumer of DHBVN bearing account no. 1202690000 under SDO (OP) Sub Divn., DHBVN, Kherikalan and therefore, the Forum has jurisdiction to hear the complaint.

Complainant has filed the present complaint stating that his grievance related to non-erection and commissioning of adequate electrical infrastructure for the plotted colony across sector 75-89, Faridabad developed by the colonizer M/s Countrywide Promoters Pvt. Ltd. The Colonizer has taken BulkSupply DS connection for 928 dwelling units despite the fact that habitation has now taken place by more than 5000 families and at the onset of summer, there are long power cuts on account of inadequate power supply or inadequate power infrastructure. Moreover, the developer has charged Rs.721/- per square yard from thousands of allottees. This is a sheer case of siphoning of funds collected by the developer for laying inadequate infrastructure. He has requested the Forum to redress his complaint.

The complaint was forwarded to the Nodal Officer for filing the written reply of the Nigam and both the parties were asked to appear before the Forum on 03.12.2020 at Faridabad for hearing of the case.

The first proceeding was held on 03.12.2020 at Faridabad. Complainant and respondent SDO were present. Respondent SDO submitted reply vide memo.no. 4236 dated 01.12.2020 stating that the matter pertains to colonizer M/s Countrywide Promoters. There are various litigations pending at various level against the said colonizer, as such, requested to allow at least four weeks' time for submission of reply. Forum directed respondent SDO to send copy of said complaint to the colonizer seeking their reply on the complaint stipulating the period of not more than 7 days. He was also directed to depute area incharge/JE to verify the facts of complaint as per HERC notification dated 22.4.2020 and thereafter submit detailed reply to the Forum with a copy to complainant before the next date of hearing. Now to come on next date of hearing on 05.01.2021.

Proceeding was held on dated 05.01.2021 at Faridabad. Complainant and respondent SDO were present. SDO submitted reply dated 05.01.2021 stating that as per Forum direction, another notices to M/s Countrywide Promoters Pvt. Ltd. and M/s BPTP Ltd. were issued. But no reply is received till date. The complainant also submitted some queries which were handed over to respondent SDO for reply. The representative of M/s BPTP telephonically requested for another date. Request allowed. Now to come on next date of hearing on 08.01.2021.

Proceedings were held on 08.01.2021. The Complainant, the respondent SDO and Mr. Rajiv Gupta of BPTP / Country Wide were present. Complainant Mr. Umesh Prabhakar raised the issue that despite the infrastructure cost having been recovered from the individual allottees, the developer M/S Country Wide Promoters / BPTP had not erected the requisite electrical infrastructure. He placed on record copies of certain agreement papers between the buyer and the developer to support his argument. Mr. Rajiv Gupta of BPTP submitted that he would come up with a detailed reply by the next date after which the matter can be argued at length. Forum directed Mr. Rajiv Gupta to go through the papers and other verbal submissions made by the complainant and submit their detailed point wise reply before the next date 19.01.2021. Now to come up hearing on the next date 19.01.2021.

Proceedings were held on 19.01.2021 wherein complainant, the developer and the respondent SDO were present. Mr. Gupta from Country Wide Promoters submitted details of the EDC and other charges paid to the state authorities. Copies of the same were also handed over to the complainant. During arguments, it was decided that the complainant and the developer would again sit together on 28.01.2021 and would exchange all other relevant papers in the interest of justice and would also place the same on record of the Forum and that the case would again be heard on 02.02.2021.

Proceedings were held on 02.02.2021 wherein complainant was present but the developer sought some more time to submit some more papers on the issue. Request was granted. Now to come up for hearing on 12.02.2021.

Proceedings were held on 12.02.2021. Complainant, the respondent SDO and Mr. Saxena from the developer were present. The main point of disagreement between the complainant and the developer remained the same. Complainant argued that since the developer had collected all the charges at the time of sale of property, it was duty of the developer to erect adequate electrical infrastructure out of the money so collected whereas the developer argued that since EDC collected by HUDA / DTCP included the charges against necessary electrical infrastructure including HT lines from nearest substation, it was for the government agencies to put in place the adequate electrical infrastructure. On the issue, as again raised by the complainant regarding inadequacy in the infrastructure and the source of supply, the Forum decided that a committee of Nigam officers should visit the area and submit a single line diagram of the system laid so far and the points of measurement of power flow and the billing mechanism. Therefore, the Forum directed XEN Greater Faridabad to constitute a committee of 2 nos. SDOs and 2 nos. JEs to go into the concerns of Forum explained above and to submit a detailed report before the next date of hearing

on 02.03.2021.

Proceedings were held on 02.03.2021. Complainant as well as SDO were present. But from the builder side, a Vakalatnama was received and next date of hearing was sought. On his part, the SDO submitted a brief report on the issues raised by the Forum on the last date of hearing. Even though the report of respondent SDO clarified some important issues, yet it was felt that it lacked in so many ways and there were some very important issues which had not been touched in his report. Therefore, the Forum directed XEN Greater Faridabad Division and the respondent SDO to further conduct a survey of the area and submit a self-speaking report on the following issues:

1. A single line diagram showing the location of single point connection, nos. of plotted colonies / high rise buildings / other areas / societies which are fed from this single point connection
2. Whether, at the entry point of each of these areas as mentioned in para 1 above, any reference meter is installed and working on the basis of which the builder / developer company raises the bills to its residents / occupants
3. Whether the reference meter installed, if any, at the entry point of each of such areas also records the DG set energy or not
4. Are the DG set generated units recorded separately in dual energy meters installed for individual houses and common area power requirements.
5. How does the builder / developer match the total energy billed to them by DHBVN in a month with the sum total of bills of individual consumption of residents every month raised by the builder / developer?
6. Are the dual energy meters installed for recording common area energy functional
7. What is the ratio of domestic energy consumption viz.-a-viz. common area service consumption
8. Checking by committee be done in the shape of checking formats LL 1
9. Any other important point which comes to the notice of committee during checking
10. Name of the agency who raises electricity bills to individual residents and whether it is authorized to do so under the law

The report should be comprehensive and should cover all the above issues listed. Now, the matter to come up for hearing on the next date 16.03.2021.

Proceedings were held on 17.03.2021. The respondent SDO, the Complainant and Advocate of M/s Country Wide Promoters Mr. R P Kansal were present. The SDO informed that they had tried to survey the area and submit the report but there was no cooperation from the developer M/s

Country Wide Promoters. The matter was raised before the Advocate and he was requested to coordinate a meeting of the respondent SDOs with his client and to ask them to furnish all the details related to the issues listed in the earlier hearings. The SDO were directed to submit the detailed report to the Forum latest by 05.04.2021 with copies to the complainant as well the developer M/S Country Wide Promoters. Also, the complainant and the developer M/S Countrywide Promoters through their advocate were directed to submit their respective observations / comments on the SDO's report latest by 10.04.2021 so that detailed arguments can be held on next date of hearing 15.04.2021. Now to come up for hearing on 15.04.2021.

Proceedings were held on 01.07.2021. The respondent SDO and the Complainant were present. but the Advocate of M/s Country Wide Promoters Mr. R P Kansal informed on phone that due to his preoccupation in a court, he was unable to attend the proceedings and therefore requested for another date. The Forum reminded him of the promise he had made during the last hearing and informed that his client did not associate / cooperate the team of subdivision staff in bringing out the facts at the ground. The counsel promised that he would ask his clients to cooperate and help in bringing out facts. The SDO submitted a report a copy of which was handed over to the complainant. The complainant pointed out that the committee of SDOs had missed the very important and basic issue of the complaint regarding the state of inadequate infrastructure installed by the developer. He informed that the infrastructure installed was merely sufficient for around 2000 residents whereas the number of residents had grown to around 15000. The Forum also observed that while submitting the report, the committee of SDOs missed out on the very basic issue of inadequate infrastructure. The SDOs were supposed to bring out on record the total infrastructure installed and the nos. of residents to whom the supply was being given through this inadequate infrastructure and the nos. of hours for which the supply was being cut by the developers to its residents of the area in question. The SDOs were directed to reinvestigate / revisit the area with reference to the specific query raised in the complaint and the concern expressed by the Forum in previous hearings, specifically the state of inadequate infrastructure and as to how the developer was supplying electricity to its consumers with limited capacity of the feeder he had got sanctioned earlier. Now to come up for hearing on 15.07.2021.

Proceedings were held on 15.07.2021. The respondent SDO and the Complainant were present. M/S BPTP was represented by their counsel Mr. R P Kansal. The SDOs were reminded of the specific issues which they had missed out in their report submitted during last hearing. The SDOs told that since they were not getting any assistance from the developer, whatever they could find at the site was reported by them in their report. The Forum

reminded him of the promise he had made during the last hearing and informed that his client did not associate / cooperate the team of subdivision staff in bringing out the facts at the ground. The counsel promised and assured that he would ask his clients to cooperate and help in bringing out facts. Mr. R P Kansal submitted his reply a copy of which was given to the complainant. The complainant again pointed out that the committee of SDOs had missed the very important and basic issue of the complaint regarding the state of inadequate infrastructure installed by the developer. He informed that the infrastructure installed was merely sufficient for around 2000 residents whereas the number of residents had grown to around 15000. The Forum also observed that while submitting the report, the committee of SDOs missed out on the very basic issue of inadequate infrastructure. The SDOs were supposed to bring out on record the total infrastructure installed and the nos. of residents to whom the supply was being given through this inadequate infrastructure and the nos. of hours for which the supply was being cut by the developers to its residents of the area in question. The SDOs were again directed to reinvestigate / revisit the area with reference to the specific query raised in the complaint and the concern expressed by the Forum in previous hearings, specifically the state of inadequate infrastructure and as to how the developer was supplying electricity to its consumers with limited capacity of the feeder he had got sanctioned earlier. The complainant also submitted that the developer in his own documents had admitted that the development charges specifically against the electrical infrastructure and the power line had been included at the time of sale purchase between them. The Forum observed that SDOs should submit report only regarding ground realities and that the issue of development charges would be dealt with separately on the basis of documents submitted in that regard by the complainant and the developer. The Forum directed the SDOs to submit their report latest by 25<sup>th</sup> July 2021 with copy to developer and the complainant. The Forum directed the complainant and the developer to submit their comments on the SDOs' report latest by 30<sup>th</sup> July 2021 so that detailed arguments can be held on 06.08.2021. Now to come up for hearing on 06.08.2021.

**(MANOJ YADAV)**  
Member Technical

**(NARESH K. MEHTA)**  
Member Accounts

**(SANJEEV CHOPRA)**  
Chairperson



**FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES  
DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM  
D-BLOCK, Ground Floor, Vidyut Sadan, Vidyut Nagar, Hisar-125 005  
Telephone No. 01662-223081  
HETRI, SECTOR 16, IDC AREA, GURUGRAM  
(website: [www.dhbvn.org.in](http://www.dhbvn.org.in)) (e-mail ID:cgrf@dhbvn.org.in)**

CASE NUMBER	DH / CGRF / 3616 / 2021
DATE OF INSTITUTION	21.06.2021
DATES OF HEARING	15.07.2021

**BEFORE THE  
FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES, DHBVN**

Present:

SANJEEV KUMAR CHOPRA	CHAIRPERSON
NARESH MEHTA	MEMBER ACCOUNTS
MANOJ YADAV	MEMBER TECHNICAL

In the matter of complaint of M/S Bindal Rice Mill, Hodal regarding billing problem.

.....Complainant/Petitioner

V/s

XEN (OP) Divn., DHBVN, Hodal.  
SDO (OP) S/Divn., DHBVN, Hodal.

.....Respondents

Appearance:-

For Complainant: Present.

For the Respondent: Respondent SDO



## **INTERIM ORDER**

M/S Bindal Rice Mill, Hodal (Palwal) are consumer of DHBVN bearing account no. 0018770000 under SDO (OP) Sub Divn., DHBVN, Hodal and therefore, the Forum has jurisdiction to hear the complaint.

Complainant has filed the present complaint stating that an amount of Rs. 784614/- have been charged wrongly as ACD by the mistake on the part of DHBVN and not only that they are not withdrawing it but also are levying surcharge every month. He has been approaching SDO and XEN for withdrawal of the wrong amount along with the surcharge levied on it. But DHBVN has not taken any action so far. Therefore, he has requested the Forum to redress his grievance.

The complaint was forwarded to the Nodal Officer for filing the written reply of the Nigam and both the parties were asked to appear before the Forum on 15.07.2021 at Faridabad for hearing of the case.

Proceedings were held on 15.07.2021. Respondent SDO was present and the complainant connected from Hodal on phone. The SDO did not submit any reply but informed that he was looking into the complaint and would need another date for filing the reply. The request was accepted. The SDO was directed by the Forum to apprise all detail of wrong ACD charged and to give him all detail of charging. Now to come up for hearing on 17.08.2021.

**(MANOJ YADAV)**  
Member Technical

**(NARESH K. MEHTA)**  
Member Accounts

**(SANJEEV CHOPRA)**  
Chairperson

	<b>FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES</b> <b>DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM</b> <b>D-BLOCK, Ground Floor, Vidyut Sadan, Vidyut Nagar, Hisar-125 005</b> <b>Telephone No. 01662-223081</b> <b>HETRI, SECTOR 16, IDC AREA, GURUGRAM</b> <b>(website: <a href="http://www.dhbvn.org.in">www.dhbvn.org.in</a>) (e-mail ID:cgrf@dhbvn.org.in)</b>
---	---

CASE NUMBER	DH / CGRF / 3605 / 2021
DATE OF INSTITUTION	18.06.2021
DATES OF HEARING	15.07.2021

**BEFORE THE**  
**FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES, DHBVN**

Present:

SANJEEV KUMAR CHOPRA	CHAIRPERSON
NARESH MEHTA	MEMBER ACCOUNTS
MANOJ YADAV	MEMBER TECHNICAL

In the matter of complaint of Smt. Mayawati, E-Block, 40 feet Road, Faridabad regarding billing problem.

.....Complainant/Petitioner

V/s

XEN (OP) Divn., DHBVN, NIT, Faridabad.  
SDO (OP) S/Divn., DHBVN, No. 3, Faridabad.

.....Respondents

Appearance:-

For Complainant: Present.

For the Respondent: Respondent SDO

## **INTERIM ORDER**

Smt. Mayawati, E-Block, 40 feet Road, Faridabad is consumer of DHBVN bearing account no. 3977250000 under SDO (OP) Sub Divn., DHBVN, No. 3, Faridabad. The Forum has jurisdiction to hear the complaint.

Complainant has filed the present complaint stating that for no fault of theirs, the Nigam has charged unwarranted amount on the basis of slowness of meter which in fact was never there. She has been approaching SDO and XEN for withdrawal of the wrong amount. But DHBVN has not taken any action so far. Therefore, she has requested the Forum to redress his grievance.

The complaint was forwarded to the Nodal Officer for filing the written reply of the Nigam and both the parties were asked to appear before the Forum on 15.07.2021 at Faridabad for hearing of the case.

Proceedings were held on 15.07.2021. Respondent SDO as well as the complainant were present. The SDO submitted reply vide memo no. 890 dated 14.07.2021 and informed that the charging was correct and as per the checking report supplied by M&P wing. The complainant on his side said that the period of dispute was the lockdown period and therefore to charge any amount was wrong and unjustified. The Forum directed the complainant to place on record any proof like GST Return from January 2018 to September 2020 and Sale / Purchase evidence from January 2020 to September 2020 etc. so as to prove that the factory had remained closed. Now to come up for hearing on 03.08.2021.

**(MANOJ YADAV)**  
Member Technical

**(NARESH K. MEHTA)**  
Member Accounts

**(SANJEEV CHOPRA)**  
Chairperson

	<b>FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES</b> <b>DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM</b> <b>D-BLOCK, Ground Floor, Vidyut Sadan, Vidyut Nagar, Hisar-125 005</b> <b>Telephone No. 01662-223081</b> <b>HETRI, SECTOR 16, IDC AREA, GURUGRAM</b> <b>(website: <a href="http://www.dhbvn.org.in">www.dhbvn.org.in</a>) (e-mail ID:cgrf@dhbvn.org.in)</b>
---	---

CASE NUMBER	DH / CGRF / 3577 / 2021
DATE OF INSTITUTION	17.06.2021
DATES OF HEARING	15.07.2021

**BEFORE THE**  
**FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES, DHBVN**

Present:

SANJEEV KUMAR CHOPRA	CHAIRPERSON
NARESH MEHTA	MEMBER ACCOUNTS
MANOJ YADAV	MEMBER TECHNICAL

In the matter of complaint of Smt. Pushpa Bhatt, Flat no. 304, T-24, Park Floor 2, BPTP, Sector 76, Faridabad regarding non-refund of ACD.

.....Complainant/Petitioner

V/s

XEN (OP) Divn., DHBVN, Ballabgarh, Faridabad.  
SDO (OP) S/Divn., DHBVN, Suburban, Faridabad.

.....Respondents

Appearance:-

For Complainant: Present.

For the Respondent: Respondent SDO

## **INTERIM ORDER**

Smt. Pushpa Bhatt, Flat no. 304, T-24, Park Floor 2, BPTP, Sector 76, Faridabad is consumer of DHBVN bearing account no. 7582101000 under SDO (OP) Sub Divn., DHBVN, Suburban, Faridabad. The Forum has jurisdiction to hear the complaint.

Complainant has filed the present complaint stating that she has been requesting for refund of excess ACD charged DHBVN has not taken any action so far. Therefore, she has requested the Forum to redress his grievance.

The complaint was forwarded to the Nodal Officer for filing the written reply of the Nigam and both the parties were asked to appear before the Forum on 15.07.2021 at Faridabad for hearing of the case.

Proceedings were held on 15.07.2021. Respondent SDO as well as the complainant were present. The SDO submitted reply vide memo no. 8245 dated 14.07.2021 and informed that his office had already processed and submitted the case for refund of the said mount to the office of XEN Ballabgarh and that the amount would be refunded within a week. The Forum directed the SDO to ensure refund within a week's time. Now to come up for hearing on 03.08.2021.

**(MANOJ YADAV)**  
Member Technical

**(NARESH K. MEHTA)**  
Member Accounts

**(SANJEEV CHOPRA)**  
Chairperson

	<b>FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES</b> <b>DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM</b> <b>D-BLOCK, Ground Floor, Vidyut Sadan, Vidyut Nagar, Hisar-125 005</b> <b>Telephone No. 01662-223081</b> <b>HETRI, SECTOR 16, IDC AREA, GURUGRAM</b> <b>(website: <a href="http://www.dhbvn.org.in">www.dhbvn.org.in</a>) (e-mail ID:cgrf@dhbvn.org.in)</b>
---	---

CASE NUMBER	DH / CGRF / 3588 / 2021
DATE OF INSTITUTION	17.06.2021
DATES OF HEARING	15.07.2021

**BEFORE THE**  
**FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES, DHBVN**

Present:

SANJEEV KUMAR CHOPRA	CHAIRPERSON
NARESH MEHTA	MEMBER ACCOUNTS
MANOJ YADAV	MEMBER TECHNICAL

In the matter of complaint of Sh. Praveen Kumar, FCA 937, Chawla Colony, Ballabgarh, Faridabad regarding no-benefit of Solar Energy Panels installed by him .

.....Complainant/Petitioner

V/s

XEN (OP) Divn., DHBVN, Ballabgarh, Faridabad.  
SDO (OP) S/Divn., DHBVN, City II, Ballabgarh, Faridabad.

.....Respondents

Appearance:-

For Complainant: Present.

For the Respondent: Respondent SDO

## **INTERIM ORDER**

Sh. Praveen Kumar, FCA 937, Chawla Colony, Ballabgarh, Faridabad is consumer of DHBVN bearing account no. 9789390000 under SDO (OP) Sub Divn., DHBVN, City II, Ballabgarh, Faridabad. The Forum has jurisdiction to hear the complaint.

Complainant has filed the present complaint stating that he had installed 5 kW solar panels on roof top way back in 2018 but he has not been given any benefit of energy so produced and also, the Nigam officials do not know how to account for the solar energy as a result of which he has been getting wrong bills for the last 2 years. But DHBVN has not taken any action so far. Therefore, he has requested the Forum to redress his grievance.

The complaint was forwarded to the Nodal Officer for filing the written reply of the Nigam and both the parties were asked to appear before the Forum on 15.07.2021 at Faridabad for hearing of the case.

Proceedings were held on 15.07.2021. Respondent SDO as well as the complainant were present. The SDO submitted reply vide memo no. 4294 dated 15.07.2021 stating that the benefit was not permissible as per sales circular of the Nigam. The Forum directed the SDO to place on record the monthly / bi-monthly consumption data as taken by the meter reader from the date of installation of net-metering till date and also to bring on record the HERC guidelines on the issue of benefit to solar connections. Now to come up for hearing on 17.08.2021.

**(MANOJ YADAV)**  
Member Technical

**(NARESH K. MEHTA)**  
Member Accounts

**(SANJEEV CHOPRA)**  
Chairperson



FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES  
DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM  
D-BLOCK, Ground Floor, Vidyut Sadan, Vidyut Nagar, Hisar-125 005  
Telephone No. 01662-223081  
HETRI, SECTOR 16, IDC AREA, GURUGRAM  
(website: [www.dhbvn.org.in](http://www.dhbvn.org.in)) (e-mail ID:cgrf@dhbvn.org.in)

CASE NUMBER	DH / CGRF / 3541 / 2021
DATE OF INSTITUTION	19.04.2021
DATES OF HEARING	15.07.2021

**BEFORE THE  
FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES, DHBVN**

Present:

SANJEEV KUMAR CHOPRA	CHAIRPERSON
NARESH MEHTA	MEMBER ACCOUNTS
MANOJ YADAV	MEMBER TECHNICAL

In the matter of complaint of Sh. Deepak Kumar, Quarter no. 1898, Type 3, NH 4, Faridabad regarding wrong billing.

.....Complainant/Petitioner

V/s

XEN (OP) Divn., DHBVN, Old Faridabad, Faridabad.  
SDO (OP) S/Divn., DHBVN, No. 4, Faridabad.

.....Respondents

Appearance:-

For Complainant: Present.

For the Respondent: Respondent SDO



## **INTERIM ORDER**

Sh. Deepak Kumar, Quarter no. 1898, Type 3, NH 4, Faridabad is consumer of DHBVN bearing account no. 9693010676 under SDO (OP) Sub Divn., DHBVN, No. 4, Faridabad. The Forum has jurisdiction to hear the complaint.

Complainant has filed the present complaint stating that he has been receiving bills not as per meter readings and also not as per uniform tariff. That sometimes he got the bill @ Rs. 48/- per unit and sometimes as per Rs. 6/- per unit and also that no one in the subdivision was able to explain the irregularity. Therefore, he has requested the Forum to redress his grievance.

The complaint was forwarded to the Nodal Officer for filing the written reply of the Nigam and both the parties were asked to appear before the Forum on 15.07.2021 at Faridabad for hearing of the case.

Proceedings were held on 15.07.2021. Respondent SDO as well as the complainant were present. The SDO did not submit any reply but assured that he would see the records as complained by the complainant and shall correct the bills as per reading. The Forum directed the SDO to overhaul the account as per actual readings and explaining to the complainant the final bill so prepared. Also, to submit detailed reply before the next date of hearing. Now to come up for hearing on 17.08.2021.

**(MANOJ YADAV)**  
Member Technical

**(NARESH K. MEHTA)**  
Member Accounts

**(SANJEEV CHOPRA)**  
Chairperson

	<b>FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES</b> <b>DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM</b> <b>D-BLOCK, Ground Floor, Vidyut Sadan, Vidyut Nagar, Hisar-125 005</b> <b>Telephone No. 01662-223081</b> <b>HETRI, SECTOR 16, IDC AREA, GURUGRAM</b> <b>(website: <a href="http://www.dhbvn.org.in">www.dhbvn.org.in</a>) (e-mail ID:cgrf@dhbvn.org.in)</b>
---	---

CASE NUMBER	DH / CGRF / 3604 / 2021
DATE OF INSTITUTION	18.06.2021
DATES OF HEARING	15.07.2021

**BEFORE THE**  
**FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES, DHBVN**

Present:

SANJEEV KUMAR CHOPRA	CHAIRPERSON
NARESH MEHTA	MEMBER ACCOUNTS
MANOJ YADAV	MEMBER TECHNICAL

In the matter of complaint of Sh. Ashok Kumar Chauhan, J-3137, Part II, Sainik Colony, Sector 49, Faridabad regarding wrong billing.

.....Complainant/Petitioner

V/s

XEN (OP) Divn., DHBVN, Old Faridabad, Faridabad.  
SDO (OP) S/Divn., DHBVN, No. 4, Faridabad.

.....Respondents

Appearance:-

For Complainant: Present.

For the Respondent: Respondent SDO

## **INTERIM ORDER**

Sh. Ashok Kumar Chauhan, J-3137, Part II, Sainik Colony, Sector 49, Faridabad is consumer of DHBVN bearing account no. 1941330000 under SDO (OP) Sub Divn., DHBVN, Suburban, Faridabad. The Forum has jurisdiction to hear the complaint.

Complainant has filed the present complaint stating that a wrong entry of Rs. 1.39 lacs had been wrongly made in his account which in fact was against some consumer of CGM Nagar in February 2019. Despite his regular persuasion with the SDO at that time, this amount has not been taken out of his account and which has now swelled to Rs. 1,96,000/-. DHBVN has not taken any action so far to take out this amount from his bill. Therefore, he has requested the Forum to redress his grievance.

The complaint was forwarded to the Nodal Officer for filing the written reply of the Nigam and both the parties were asked to appear before the Forum on 15.07.2021 at Faridabad for hearing of the case.

Proceedings were held on 15.07.2021. Respondent SDO as well as the complainant were present. The SDO submitted reply vide memo no. 1388 dated 15.07.2021 stating that a refund entry of Rs. 1,87,000/- had been sent to CBO for taking further action. The Forum did not find the reply satisfactory and enquired from SDO that if the refund entry has been made, then has the amount been put in some other account. The SDO could not reply and requested for another date to come up with detailed reply. The Forum directed the SDO to look into the matter and submit comprehensive reply before the next date of hearing.. Now to come up for hearing on 03.08.2021.

**(MANOJ YADAV)**  
Member Technical

**(NARESH K. MEHTA)**  
Member Accounts

**(SANJEEV CHOPRA)**  
Chairperson

	<b>FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES</b> <b>DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM</b> <b>D-BLOCK, Ground Floor, Vidyut Sadan, Vidyut Nagar, Hisar-125 005</b> <b>Telephone No. 01662-223081</b> <b>HETRI, SECTOR 16, IDC AREA, GURUGRAM</b> <b>(website: <a href="http://www.dhbvn.org.in">www.dhbvn.org.in</a>) (e-mail ID:cgrf@dhbvn.org.in)</b>
---	---

CASE NUMBER	DH / CGRF / 3534 / 2021
DATE OF INSTITUTION	19.04.2021
DATES OF HEARING	15.07.2021

**BEFORE THE**  
**FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES, DHBVN**

Present:

SANJEEV KUMAR CHOPRA	CHAIRPERSON
NARESH MEHTA	MEMBER ACCOUNTS
MANOJ YADAV	MEMBER TECHNICAL

In the matter of complaint of Smt. Babita Rani, 280, Ashoka Enclave, Palla, Faridabad regarding non-refund of ACD.

.....Complainant/Petitioner

V/s

XEN (OP) Divn., DHBVN, Old Faridabad, Faridabad.  
SDO (OP) S/Divn., DHBVN, Mathura Road, Faridabad.

.....Respondents

Appearance:-

For Complainant: Present.

For the Respondent: Respondent SDO

## **INTERIM ORDER**

Smt. Babita Rani, 280, Ashoka Enclave, Palla, Faridabad is consumer of DHBVN bearing account no. 6857840000 under SDO (OP) Sub Divn., DHBVN, Mathura Road, Faridabad. The Forum has jurisdiction to hear the complaint.

Complainant has filed the present complaint stating that she has been getting wrong bills with abnormally high amount posted in it without any basis. She had been trying to get the remedy but DHBVN has not taken any action so far. Also, that on one hand the bill had not been corrected and on the other, the connection had been disconnected on non-payment of inflated amount. Therefore, she has requested the Forum to redress his grievance.

The complaint was forwarded to the Nodal Officer for filing the written reply of the Nigam and both the parties were asked to appear before the Forum on 15.07.2021 at Faridabad for hearing of the case.

Proceedings were held on 15.07.2021. Respondent SDO was not present but the complainant was present. The SDO was contacted telephonically through video call. The Forum directed SDO to submit complete details of the case and the reply before the next date of hearing and in the meanwhile, re-connect the connection. Now to come up for hearing on 03.08.2021.

**(MANOJ YADAV)**  
Member Technical

**(NARESH K. MEHTA)**  
Member Accounts

**(SANJEEV CHOPRA)**  
Chairperson



FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES  
DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM  
D-BLOCK, Ground Floor, Vidyut Sadan, Vidyut Nagar, Hisar-125 005  
Telephone No. 01662-223081  
HETRI, SECTOR 16, IDC AREA, GURUGRAM  
(website: [www.dhbvn.org.in](http://www.dhbvn.org.in)) (e-mail ID:cgrf@dhbvn.org.in)

CASE NUMBER	DH / CGRF / 3579 / 2021
DATE OF INSTITUTION	17.06.2021
DATES OF HEARING	15.07.2021

**BEFORE THE  
FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES, DHBVN**

Present:

SANJEEV KUMAR CHOPRA	CHAIRPERSON
NARESH MEHTA	MEMBER ACCOUNTS
MANOJ YADAV	MEMBER TECHNICAL

In the matter of complaint of Sh. Bhairab Kumar Jha, House no. 69, Street no. 06, Ganpati Colony, Jagmal Enclave, Faridabad regarding wrong billing.

.....Complainant/Petitioner

V/s

XEN (OP) Divn., DHBVN, Greater Faridabad, Faridabad.  
SDO (OP) S/Divn., DHBVN, Tilpat, Faridabad.

.....Respondents

Appearance:-

For Complainant: Present.

For the Respondent: Respondent SDO

## **INTERIM ORDER**

Sh. Bhairab Kumar Jha, House no. 69, Street no. 06, Ganpati Colony, Jagmal Enclave, Faridabad is consumer of DHBVN bearing account no. 8240382712 under SDO (OP) Sub Divn., DHBVN, Tilpat, Faridabad. The Forum has jurisdiction to hear the complaint.

Complainant has filed the present complaint stating that he has been getting wrong bills for the last 4-5 months and that his constant pursual with the subdivision has yielded no results. Therefore, he has requested the Forum to redress his grievance.

The complaint was forwarded to the Nodal Officer for filing the written reply of the Nigam and both the parties were asked to appear before the Forum on 15.07.2021 at Faridabad for hearing of the case.

Proceedings were held on 15.07.2021. Neither the respondent nor the SDO were present. The SDO requested for another date for filing the reply and looking into the matter. Request was allowed. The Forum directed SDO to submit detailed reply before the next date of hearing. The Forum also directed the complainant to be present on the next date of hearing. Now to come up for hearing on 03.08.2021.

**(MANOJ YADAV)**  
Member Technical

**(NARESH K. MEHTA)**  
Member Accounts

**(SANJEEV CHOPRA)**  
Chairperson

	<b>FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES</b> <b>DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM</b> <b>D-BLOCK, Ground Floor, Vidyut Sadan, Vidyut Nagar, Hisar-125 005</b> <b>Telephone No. 01662-223081</b> <b>HETRI, SECTOR 16, IDC AREA, GURUGRAM</b> <b>(website: <a href="http://www.dhbvn.org.in">www.dhbvn.org.in</a>) (e-mail ID:cgrf@dhbvn.org.in)</b>
---	---

CASE NUMBER	DH / CGRF / 3609 / 2021
DATE OF INSTITUTION	21.06.2021
DATES OF HEARING	15.07.2021

**BEFORE THE**  
**FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES, DHBVN**

Present:

SANJEEV KUMAR CHOPRA	CHAIRPERSON
NARESH MEHTA	MEMBER ACCOUNTS
MANOJ YADAV	MEMBER TECHNICAL

In the matter of complaint of Sh. Sanjay Jha, H. no. 119, Gali no. 21, Surya Vihar, Part III, Madarsa Gali, Faridabad regarding wrong billing.

.....Complainant/Petitioner

V/s

XEN (OP) Divn., DHBVN, Greater Faridabad, Faridabad.  
SDO (OP) S/Divn., DHBVN, Tilpat, Faridabad.

.....Respondents

Appearance:-

For Complainant: Present.

For the Respondent: Respondent SDO



## **INTERIM ORDER**

Sh. Sanjay Jha, H. no. 119, Gali no. 21, Surya Vihar, Part III, Madarsa Gali, Faridabad is consumer of DHBVN bearing account no.0845449395 under SDO (OP) Sub Divn., DHBVN, Tilpat, Faridabad. The Forum has jurisdiction to hear the complaint.

Complainant has filed the present complaint stating that he has been paying all his bills in time but still he has been receiving messages regarding pending bills. He has been requesting clarification but DHBVN has not taken any action so far. Therefore, he has requested the Forum to redress his grievance.

The complaint was forwarded to the Nodal Officer for filing the written reply of the Nigam and both the parties were asked to appear before the Forum on 15.07.2021 at Faridabad for hearing of the case.

Proceedings were held on 15.07.2021. Neither the respondent nor the SDO were present. The SDO requested for another date for filing the reply and looking into the matter. Request was allowed. The Forum directed SDO to submit detailed reply before the next date of hearing. The Forum also directed the complainant to be present on the next date of hearing. Now to come up for hearing on 03.08.2021.

**(MANOJ YADAV)**  
Member Technical

**(NARESH K. MEHTA)**  
Member Accounts

**(SANJEEV CHOPRA)**  
Chairperson



**FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES  
DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM  
D-BLOCK, Ground Floor, Vidyut Sadan, Vidyut Nagar, Hisar-125 005  
Telephone No. 01662-223081  
HETRI, SECTOR 16, IDC AREA, GURUGRAM  
(website: [www.dhbvn.org.in](http://www.dhbvn.org.in)) (e-mail ID:cgrf@dhbvn.org.in)**

CASE NUMBER	DH / CGRF / 3551 / 2021
DATE OF INSTITUTION	26.04.2021
DATES OF HEARING	15.07.2021

**BEFORE THE  
FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES, DHBVN**

Present:

SANJEEV KUMAR CHOPRA	CHAIRPERSON
NARESH MEHTA	MEMBER ACCOUNTS
MANOJ YADAV	MEMBER TECHNICAL

In the matter of complaint of Sh. Pankaj Jha, Surya Vihar, Part III, Agwanpur, Faridabad regarding wrong billing.

.....Complainant/Petitioner

V/s

XEN (OP) Divn., DHBVN, Greater Faridabad, Faridabad.  
SDO (OP) S/Divn., DHBVN, Tilpat, Faridabad.

.....Respondents

Appearance:-

For Complainant: Present.

For the Respondent: Respondent SDO

## **INTERIM ORDER**

Sh. Sanjay Jha, Surya Vihar, Part III, Agwanpur, Faridabad is consumer of DHBVN bearing account no. 6314578824 under SDO (OP) Sub Divn., DHBVN, Tilpat, Faridabad. The Forum has jurisdiction to hear the complaint.

Complainant has filed the present complaint stating that he has not been getting bills as per readings and that no one has been coming to take the meter reading regularly. He has been requesting to issue the bills as per reading but DHBVN has not taken any action so far. Therefore, he has requested the Forum to redress his grievance.

The complaint was forwarded to the Nodal Officer for filing the written reply of the Nigam and both the parties were asked to appear before the Forum on 15.07.2021 at Faridabad for hearing of the case.

Proceedings were held on 15.07.2021. Respondent SDO was not present but the Complainant was present. The SDO requested for another date for filing the reply and looking into the matter. Request was allowed. The Forum directed SDO to submit detailed reply before the next date of hearing. The Forum also directed the complainant to be present on the next date of hearing. Now to come up for hearing on 03.08.2021.

**(MANOJ YADAV)**  
Member Technical

**(NARESH K. MEHTA)**  
Member Accounts

**(SANJEEV CHOPRA)**  
Chairperson



FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES  
DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM  
D-BLOCK, Ground Floor, Vidyut Sadan, Vidyut Nagar, Hisar-125 005  
Telephone No. 01662-223081  
HETRI, SECTOR 16, IDC AREA, GURUGRAM  
(website: [www.dhbvn.org.in](http://www.dhbvn.org.in)) (e-mail ID:cgrf@dhbvn.org.in)

CASE NUMBER	DH / CGRF / 3596 / 2021
DATE OF INSTITUTION	18.06.2021
DATES OF HEARING	15.07.2021

**BEFORE THE  
FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES, DHBVN**

Present:

SANJEEV KUMAR CHOPRA	CHAIRPERSON
NARESH MEHTA	MEMBER ACCOUNTS
MANOJ YADAV	MEMBER TECHNICAL

In the matter of complaint of M/S HiTech Poly Rubber, Plot No. 96 – 101, Badhkhal, Faridabad regarding wrong billing.

.....Complainant/Petitioner

V/s

XEN (OP) Divn., DHBVN, Old Faridabad, Faridabad.  
SDO (OP) S/Divn., DHBVN, No. 4, Faridabad.

.....Respondents

Appearance:-

For Complainant: Present.

For the Respondent: Respondent SDO

## **INTERIM ORDER**

M/S HiTech Poly Rubber, Plot No. 96 – 101, Badhkhal Faridabad are consumer of DHBVN bearing account no. 6495194635 under SDO (OP) Sub Divn., DHBVN, No. 4, Faridabad. The Forum has jurisdiction to hear the complaint.

Complainant has filed the present complaint stating that even though they had got the load enhanced from 250 kW to 300 kW but still the DHBVN has been billing by levying penalty on account of MDI exceeding. This was wrong on the part of DHBVN. That they have been pursuing the matter with DHBVN but no corrections have so far been made. Therefore, they have requested the Forum to redress his grievance.

The complaint was forwarded to the Nodal Officer for filing the written reply of the Nigam and both the parties were asked to appear before the Forum on 15.07.2021 at Faridabad for hearing of the case.

Proceedings were held on 15.07.2021. Respondent SDO as well as the complainant were present. The SDO submitted reply vide memo no. 1389 dated 15.07.2021 stating that his office has sent the case to CBO for adjusting the excess amount. The Forum observed that the reply was satisfactory. The Forum directed SDO to put up complete case with the date of application of extension of load, dates of rejection, the grounds of rejection, then final sanctioning of extension of load and the penalty on account of MDI levied so far. Now to come up for hearing on 17.08.2021.

**(MANOJ YADAV)**  
Member Technical

**(NARESH K. MEHTA)**  
Member Accounts

**(SANJEEV CHOPRA)**  
Chairperson



FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES  
DAKSHIN HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM  
D-BLOCK, Ground Floor, Vidyut Sadan, Vidyut Nagar, Hisar-125 005  
Telephone No. 01662-223081  
HETRI, SECTOR 16, IDC AREA, GURUGRAM  
(website: [www.dhbvn.org.in](http://www.dhbvn.org.in)) (e-mail ID:cgrf@dhbvn.org.in)

CASE NUMBER	DH / CGRF / / 2021
DATE OF INSTITUTION	21.06.2021
DATES OF HEARING	15.07.2021

**BEFORE THE  
FORUM FOR REDRESSAL OF CONSUMER GRIEVANCES, DHBVN**

Present:

SANJEEV KUMAR CHOPRA	CHAIRPERSON
NARESH MEHTA	MEMBER ACCOUNTS
MANOJ YADAV	MEMBER TECHNICAL

In the matter of complaint of Smt. Parvati Devi, Faridabad regarding wrong billing

.....Complainant/Petitioner

V/s

XEN (OP) Divn., DHBVN, Old Faridabad, Faridabad.  
SDO (OP) S/Divn., DHBVN, No. 4, Faridabad.

.....Respondents

Appearance:-

For Complainant: Present.

For the Respondent: Respondent SDO

## **INTERIM ORDER**

Smt. Parvati Devi, Faridabad is consumer of DHBVN under SDO (OP) Sub Divn., DHBVN, No. 4, Faridabad. The Forum has jurisdiction to hear the complaint.

Complainant has filed the present complaint stating that she has been requesting for correct billing but despite her repeated requests, DHBVN has not taken any action so far. Therefore, she has requested the Forum to redress his grievance.

The complaint was forwarded to the Nodal Officer for filing the written reply of the Nigam and both the parties were asked to appear before the Forum on 15.07.2021 at Faridabad for hearing of the case.

Proceedings were held on 15.07.2021. Respondent SDO as well as the complainant were present. The SDO did not submit any reply but assured to look into the matter. The SDO was directed to submit comprehensive reply before the next date of hearing. The complainant was directed to pay at least 30% of the balance amount for reconnection and SDO was directed to reconnect the connection after the complainant deposits 30% of the balance amount as per DHBVN records. Now to come up for hearing on 17.08.2021.

**(MANOJ YADAV)**  
Member Technical

**(NARESH K. MEHTA)**  
Member Accounts

**(SANJEEV CHOPRA)**  
Chairperson